

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|----------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/606,571 | 06/26/2003 | Joseph Aferzon | 1043-003us | 4953 |
| 39600 SOFER & HAI | 7590 10/03/2007 ROUN LLP | | EXAMINER | |
| 317 MADISON | N AVENUE, SUITE 910 | | SHAY, DAVID M | |
| NEW YORK, NY 10017 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3735 | |
| | | • | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 10/03/2007 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|--|--|---|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | 10/606,571 | AFERZON | | | |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | | david shay | 3735 | | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply | appears on the cover sheet | vith the correspondence address | | | |
| A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | G DATE OF THIS COMMUN R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a briod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tatute, cause the application to become | ICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>J</u> | uly 19, 2007. | | | | |
| 2a)[| This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ 3 | This action is non-final. | | | | |
| 3)□ | 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice und | ler <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C. | D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | |
| Disposit | ion of Claims | | | | | |
| 5)□ 6)⊠ | Claim(s) 11-26 is/are pending in the applic 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 11-26 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | |
| 8) | Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar | nd/or election requirement. | | | | |
| Applicat | ion Papers | | , · | | | |
| 10) | The specification is objected to by the Example The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co. The oath or declaration is objected to by the | accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abey rrection is required if the drawin | ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | |
| Priority | under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | • | · | | | |
| 12) <u>□</u> . a) | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for force. All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Buse the attached detailed Office action for a | nents have been received. nents have been received in priority documents have bee reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | Application No In received in this National Stage | | | |
| Attachme | | | | | | |
| 2) Noti 3) Info | ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date | Paper N | v Summary (PTO-413) p(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/606,571

Art Unit: 3735

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on July 19, 2007 has been entered.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 11, 14-19, 21, 22, and 24-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hardy et al ('308) in combination with Taylor et al and Jensen. Hardy et al ('308) teaches a surgical system which superimposes the display of various anatomical images and the image of a tool to be inserted into the tissue, the capability of simulating the probe's trajectory is also disclosed (see column 12, line 57 to column 13, line 4), Hardy et al ('308) also incorporates by reference U.S. Patent 5,099,846, which discloses, at column 13, lines 19-38 thereof for example, the display of the simulated probe trajectory on the displayed image. Taylor et al teach employing a pantographic mechanism which can be used manually or via a computer to control the insertion and manipulation of a surgical tool within a body. Jensen also teaches the use of a pantographic device to control the manipulation of a surgical tool and also teaches the desirability of providing tactile and position feedback to the operator. It would have been obvious to the artisan or ordinary skill to employ the pantographic mechanism to control the tool of Hardy, since Hardy teaches no particular mechanism by which the device is situated in the patient, or alternatively to employ the image superposition device of Hardy in the device of Taylor et al, since this would be useful for planning the surgery, as taught by Hardy, and in either Application/Control Number: 10/606,571

Art Unit: 3735

Page 3

case to employ the positional feedback mechanism of Jensen, since these are equivalent to and useful in conjunction with the tactile feedback of Taylor et al, and further to employ a second pantographic mechanism, since this would enable the use of a second tool in the surgery, is not critical and provides no unexpected result, thus producing a device such as claimed.

Applicant's arguments filed July 19, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The arguments are not persuasive for the reasons set forth above.

All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the application prior to the entry of the submission under 37 CFR 1.114 and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the application prior to entry under 37 CFR 1.114. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL** even though it is a first action after the filing of a request for continued examination and the submission under 37 CFR 1.114. See MPEP § 706.07(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Applications Control 14

Art Unit: 3735

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to david shay whose telephone number is (571) 272-4773. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday through Friday from 6:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Charles Marmor, II, can be reached on Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday, Thursday, and Friday. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DAVID M. SHAY PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 330